arXiv:cond-mat/9810367v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 27 Oct 1998

Emig and Nattermann Reply: The Comment of Hazareesing and Bouchaud [1] on our recent Letter 'Roughening Transition of Interfaces in Disordered Systems' [2] addresses three points:

(i) They obtain an order $\epsilon = 4 - D$ correction to our result $\nu^{-1} = 2\sqrt{\epsilon}$ for the correlation length exponent ν.

(ii) They consider a *generalization of our model* to an N dimensional displacement field $\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N)$ and find for that model a first-order transition if $N > 4$.

(iii) They criticize the generalization of our results to systems with non-local elastic interaction by arguing that there is *no* renormalization of the non-local elastic term and hence no fixed point in lowest order ϵ, which again may result in a first order transition.

Our Reply is as follows:

(i) The corrections of order ϵ to ν^{-1} are not only determined by one-loop contributions – as considered in Refs. [1,2] – but also by higher order terms which may lead also to $\epsilon^{3/2}$ contributions to the disorder correlator [3]. The calculations presented in Refs. [1,2] are only able to give the value of ν^{-1} to order $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ correctly.

(ii) The generalized Hamiltonian of HB assumes a periodic potential $v\cos(2\pi(\phi_1 + \ldots + \phi_N)/\sqrt{N})$ which has the property that even for $v \to \infty$ the manifold is rough in the $N-1$ dimensional subspace $\sum_i \phi_i = n\sqrt{N}$ where n is integer. Lowering the ratio between v and the disorder strength, eventually a transition to a phase will occur in which the confinement to this subspace is lost. However, note that in the latter phase the roughness exponent is *smaller* than in the confined phase for larger v. Even if this transition would be first order for $N > 4$, as HB claim, its physical significance is not completely evident.

In a separate article, we have considered a *different* generalization to a $N > 1$ component model [4] by assuming a periodic potential of the form $v(\cos\phi_1 + \ldots + \cos\phi_N)$, which is free of the physical deficiencies of the model proposed by HB. Using a functional renormalization group approach, our model shows a continous transition for all finite N and the result for the exponent ν given in our Letter remains valid to order $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ independent of N.

(iii) In the case of non-local elasticity the upper and lower critical dimension for the appearance of a roughening transition decrease from $D_u = 4$, $D_l = 2$ to $D_u = 3$, $D_l = 5/3$, respectively, as stated in [2]. However, HB are correct in their statement that there is indeed no renormalization of the non-local elastic term as we assumed erroneously. This may result in a first order transition as found in the self-consistent calculation by Müssel [5], who neglected a renormalization of the stiffness constant from the very beginning.

We thank J.-P. Bouchaud for useful discussions.

Thorsten Emig and Thomas Nattermann

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität zu Köln
Zülpicher Str. 77

D-50937 Köln, Germany

Date: 23. October 1998
PACS numbers: 68.35.Ct, 05.20.-y

---

[1] A. Hazareesing and J.-P. Bouchaud, preceding Comment, cond-mat/9810097.
[2] T. Emig and T. Nattermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1469 (1998).
[3] L. Balents and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 5949 (1993).
[4] T. Emig and T. Nattermann, preprint cond-mat/9808318, see also J.-P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3908 (1992).
[5] U. Müssel, Diploma thesis, University of Cologne (1996), see also cond-mat/9710320.